Detection of the Baryon Acoustic Peak in the Large-Scale Correlation Function of SDSS Luminous Red Galaxies

Eisenstein et al. 2005, ApJ, 633, 560

Quick Summary

- Presented large-scale correlation function calculated from 46,748 luminous red galaxies from SDSS.
- Survey covered 0.72 h⁻³ Gpc³ over 3816 deg² and 0.16 < z < 0.47</p>
- Detected peak in correlation function at 100 h⁻¹ Mpc
- Peak represents imprint of acoustic oscillations on lowredshift matter
- Demonstrates linear growth of gravitational instability
- Provides standard ruler to measure hi-z distances to great accuracy
- Provides constraints on cosmological parameters

Observations

- Uses Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) to get spectroscopic redshifts for 46,748 luminous red (early-type) galaxies.
 - 3816 deg²
 - 0.16 < z < 0.47
 - 0.72 h⁻³ Gpc³
- Volume-limited out to z=0.36
- Largest 'effective volume' survey (by ~4x)
- Why use only LRG's?





Baryon Acoustic Oscillations

- Why would you expect to see acoustic oscillation imprint on low-redshift matter?
- What does peak at 100 h⁻¹ Mpc correspond to?
- What would a pure baryon model look like?
- What information does size of acoustic oscillation yield?

Correlation Function

- Correlation function is Fourier Transform of Power Spectrum
- Correlation function is excess probability of finding a galaxy within a given separation
- Mean number of neighbors within separation r: $\langle N
 angle = rac{4}{3} \pi r^3 n + n \int_0^r \xi(r) \, dV$
- Correlation Function:

$$\xi(r) = \frac{N(r)}{n\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3} - 1$$

Where N(r) is number of galaxies separated by r (histogram)





Redshift-Space Correlation Function

- Analysis Steps:
 - Place each data point in its co-moving coordinate based on its redshift and angular position.
 - Compute the vector difference between one galaxy and all others.
 - Repeat for each galaxy.
- Each point is weighted by the inverse of the number density at its redshift. Why?



Tests for Systematic Errors

- Radial selection function (negligible)
- Photometric calibration (will average down on large scales?)
 - Will not introduce narrow correlations. They will be broad due to projection effects
- Break correlation into two redshift splices, which yield similar results. They say errors would enter the slices differently. True?

Covariance Matrix

- Must know covariance matrix fully to calculate correlation function
- Not feasible to use jackknife sampling (too many bins)
- Generate large set (1278) of mock catalogs
 - Compute correlation function in each
 - Compute covariance matrix from variations between them
- Best fit cosmo. model has χ^2 =16.1 on 17 DOF (p=0.52).
 - Authors claim this indicates covariance matrix is on the correct scale.
- Hybrid model:
 - Use mock catalogs covariance to find best fit cosmo model for 10 jackknifed samples
 - Use rms of 10 best-fit parameters sets to determine errors



Constraints on Cosmology

- Size of 'sound horizon' depends on expansion history and sound speed of early universe plasma
- "Smoking-gun" evidence for linear growth
 Why?
- Another confirmation of dark matter
- Provides standard ruler to use at various redshifts
 - $R_{0.35} = D_V(0.35) / D_M(1089)$
 - Purely geometrical (simple)
 - Describes evolution of Hubble Parameter
 - Provides constrains on acceleration of universe, ie, dark energy

